CAT LOFTING: IS IT COMING BACK?
Cooperation, Not Confrontation
—or—

What's Going On With
Mark Weber and "Little Eddie" Tindell?

We've been hearing a lot recently about turmoil within the Tripoli Rocketry Association. Now in the past, we here at SNOAR NEWS have pretty much adopted a "wait and see" attitude about Tripoli, preferring to let their folks work things out amongst themselves. In the past, the organization has been strong enough to withstand the power grabbing exploits of various people. But in the past six months, Tripoli has been rocked hard. We'll fill you in on a couple of the problems that you won't read about in the Tripoli newsletter, the Tripolitan.

(Continued on page 20)
1988 USA/USSR Spacemodeling Competition

By George Gassaway

Background:
Amidst the excitement of the shuttle Discovery resuming the shuttle program with its launch on September 29, several dozen model rocketeers and other interested parties converged on Wallops Island, Virginia for the first US/USSR Cultural Exchange Spacemodeling Competition. This marked the first of a series of contests between the two countries, and was the first time that a spacemodeling team from the USSR appeared in the US.

The contest could be seen as accomplishing several objectives. For one, a means of cultural exchange between the two countries. For another, a contest held just between the two countries would provide the contestants with a competition without performances by other countries affecting the outcome. That aspect was notable in the light that the Russian team was the overall winner in the 1987 World Championships in Yugoslavia. So the meet was a mixture of international cooperation (more so in the light of the new age of glasnost) and competition that was serious, but not to the intensely serious extent of a World Championships.

The contest itself was held at the area of Wallops which has runways, tracking stations, and other research buildings. The area where sounding rockets are launched was about 10 miles away. All contestants and most other participants stayed at the Refuge Inn on Chincoteague Island, which was about a 15 minute drive from the Wallops contest site.

The US team was, for the most part, the same as the 1987 Team in Yugoslavia, with Trip Barber as team manager. US team members arrived throughout the afternoon, most arriving by nightfall. There was the usual last minute work to be done with some scale models, but nothing too drastic. Bob Biedron’s Ariane was still a collection of parts, needing a few more hours to put everything together (it’s easier to admire the exquisite detail work of Bob Biedron’s Ariane when you help put a few pieces on yourself...). The difference between Bob’s last minute assembly and so many other last minute models was that no parts had been scrapped, they were all there, and the model did not seem to suffer in appearance as a result.

About midnight the Russian team arrived, having flown into Dulles airport in Washington and transported by caravan to Chincoteague (about 4 hours drive). We got to see a few once they settled in, but most everyone managed to go to sleep at a sensible time. As with the US, the Russian team consisted mostly of the same as in Yugoslavia, but there were some changes. They had had a busy time, flying the European Championships about 3 weeks before and having to fly in the Russian national meet a couple of weeks later. For them, the Russian championships would determine the makeup of their 1989 team. As such, the US/USSR meet was not quite their most important meet for 1988.

The contest personnel were also noteworthy, such as Contest Director Ed Pearson. The FAJ jury consisted of Fritz Grase (Canada), Howard Kuhn (USA), and Albert Nazarov (USSR). Jim Barrowman was RSO, with C. Harry Stine as deputy RSO. The Scale judges were Ian Dowsett (UK), Serjan Pelagic (Yugoslavia), and Dr. John Langford. There were many others helping out, including Dr. Gerald Gregorek, Terry Lee, and Alan Williams. Pat Miller was protocol officer. Perhaps the most valuable player award should go to Yolanta Barnes (Phil’s wife), who was supposed to be one of 6, then one of 4, but effectively ended up as just about THE interpreter for the contest. Just about anytime members of the US team needed to talk to a Russian, someone would try to find Yolanta to interpret. Otherwise, hand signals and the key words a few Russians knew had to suffice.

The activities officially began on Friday morning at Wallops with the opening ceremonies. Both teams stood by as the US and Soviet flags were raised one after the other, accompanied by the national anthems. Following that were the raising of the flags of the other countries who had one or more representatives at the contest. There were several short speeches of welcome, including ones by Harry Stine, Pat Miller, Contest Director Ed Pearson, and the director of Wallops. After the ceremony, over at the contest site, Harry Stine began the practice flying with the dedication launch of a Carlisle Mark II in memory of Orville Carlisle. Harry mentioned the recent passing of Orville Carlisle, and as the model climbed up on a successful flight Harry said, “Orville, I wish you were here”.

Friday’s flying activities consisted of practice flights. The weather was great, partly cloudy with very low wind (though not a good direction). A few Parachute, Streamer, and Boost Glide flights were made by both teams, though not too many. Several of those flights were useful in working out recovery crew procedures. The most numerous flights were made by the R/C R/G fliers, getting models trimmed out and searching the area for lift. Thermals were just about everywhere, leading to some R/C R/G flights of well over 10 minutes, some flights brought down intentionally.

Shortly after lunch the practice was interrupted as many people, including the Russian team, left to drive about 10 miles to the launch area to see a Black Brant-XII being launched. It was an unexpected benefit to see the launch of a large sounding rocket.
Though hidden about 1-2 miles away behind a tree line, the Black Brant was easily seen once it launched. It flew into and out of the scattered clouds, the second stage ignition was both seen and heard, and the third stage ignition just heard (presumably the 4th stage fired too).

Friday night began the first of a series of special dinners, this one held at a Wallowas dining hall. Members of both teams sat together, trying to communicate often without an interpreter. The Russian coach asked each member of the US Team stand up to be identified. There was another reason for this, a corresponding Russian team member presented two bags of gifts every time a US Team member stood up. One bag contained numerous Russian mementos such as pins, key rings, booklets, and space photographs. The other bag contained a beautiful silver teapot. The US will have to find something special for the reciprocal trip to Moscow in 1990.

Due to the unique nature of the contest, the regular limit of one person per country was expanded to allow two separate 3 person teams per country for the parachute, streamer, and boost-glide events. However, the Russian team chose to use the same six people for all three of those events. Another difference in the meet was the inclusion of recovery crew assistants provided by the contest officials. Dr. Bob Kreutz, Bob Zabriskie, and Paul Han helped the US team on recovery, while Dave O'Brien, Donna Buss, and Greg Barr helped the Russian team on recovery. The meet was held using the same rules that were in effect for the 1987 World Championships in Yugoslavia. Most notable of the special FAI rules was the 18mm rule, requiring all but scale models to measure at least 18mm diameter for at least 50% of their length (or 20% in the case of Scale Altitude). This is why relatively large models with boat tails powered by micro diameter (10-11mm) motors were used in most of the duration events. Critically important was the fact that once again the special micro composite motors developed by Art Rose and crew were made available again for this meet. Otherwise, the US Team would have been at an extreme disadvantage in at least half of the events. For the most part, the US models were of the same design as flown in Yugoslavia; indeed a number were actual leftovers. That was essentially the same for the Russians as well.

**S3A A Parachute Duration**

The S3A event (A Parachute Duration) was the first event of the contest, held Saturday morning. Most models were 18-19mm diameter, 8-10" long, made of fiberglass construction (in the case of the Russian models even the noses were fiberglass). Parachutes were generally 20-24" in size, from thin plastic. Most motors were micro size, both US and Russian. The weather was good, with clear blue skies and low winds, however, the wind direction was not good, causing the models to drift into areas with trees and generally not easy to recover models from. Round one was perfect, all 4 teams maxed (12 flights). In round two, only 1 Russian maxed while 4 of 6 US flyers maxed. In the final round there were 2 Russian maxes to 3 US maxes. Round 3 was a nightmare for Chuck Weiss and Jeff Vincent. Chuck's model failed to deploy for a DQ. Jeff lost his first 2 models, so he was unable to fly the third round. Flying did go very smoothly for Phil Barnes, who managed to max out to win the event with a perfect 900 second score. Second place went to Sergey Ilijin of the USSR with a total of 881 seconds. Third place went to Ken Mizoi, who flew with black powder Estes A3's, with a total of 860 seconds. The high cost of the special micro composite motors led a few US team members to fly with black powder motors. Ken could afford to fly micro motors in just one event, and chose to use them in Boost Glide where they were much more critical. The Russian "Gold" team won overall with a total of 2246, just edging out the US "White" team who scored 2500.

**S3A (A Parachute Duration)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rd 1</th>
<th>Rd 2</th>
<th>Rd 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sergey Ilijin</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Kuzmin</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandre Mitiurov</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CCCP Gold Team</strong></td>
<td><strong>2246</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1st</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azim Domatilov</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexey Kortapin</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igor Shmatov</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CCCP Red Team</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2307</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Below and on following pages:

*Typical Soviet SD and PD designs*
S6A A Streamer Duration

In the afternoon was the S6A event (A Streamer Duration). The models for the streamer event were very similar to the ones used for Parachute Duration. The US models used mylar-like streamers, some special materials kept secret even from other US team members. The Russian streamers seemed to be of a type not seen before, smooth on one side and rough on the other. The wind had been picking up throughout the morning and was quite strong by the time S6A began. George Cassaway was picking lift for the US Team, and while things had gone well in PD, they went downhill fast for Streamer. Eleven out of twelve flyers made the 120 second max for round one, Harry Rose's model got caught in sink and only managed 85 seconds. Problem was the Russians were easily making while the US models were not making it by much. As the flying continued the wind got worse - there were some pockets of lift which blew by, but George could not detect them by the methods which worked during more calm conditions. Ken Mizoi jumped in to help pick air too, but nothing was worked very well. The Russians kept managing to fly well, in some cases catching obvious lift. In addition, their models boosted significantly higher than the US models (on the order of 30% more) and seemed to have a good dead air performance capability. Overall pretty frustrating for the US Team. The top three places went to Russians, with Sergey Iljin with a total of a perfect score of 540 seconds. Alexey Korolapin placed second with 355, and Alexandr Miturov was third with 308 seconds. The Russian “Gold” team won with a total of 1524 seconds. The top scorers from the US were Frank McMullen and Phil Barnes with respective scores of 375 and 371 seconds.

Saturday night featured a clambake outdoors at the motel, consisting of several types of seafood, chicken, and numerous “picnic” fare. For those NARAMs that have tried an outdoor picnic or barbecue, this was the one time something like that worked out well.

S6A (A Streamer Duration)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rnd 1</th>
<th>Rnd 2</th>
<th>Rnd 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sergey Iljin</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Kuzmin</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandr Miturov</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCP Gold Team</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azim Domlatchanov</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexey Korolopin</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igor Shmatov</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCP Red Team</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Barnes</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Rose</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Rose</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA White Team</td>
<td>139</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank McMullen</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Weiss</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Williams</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA Blue Team</td>
<td>957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S4B B Boost Glide

Sunday morning was the S4B event (B Boost Glide). This event had the extra complication of the rules requiring flex-wings to weigh at least as much as the fully prepared booster. The Russians pretty much used models which had been seen in Yugoslavia last year. Some of the US team models were of the double-fold type Art Rose and George Cassaway developed for Yugoslavia in 1987. Others were simply regular design flex-wings or regular canard flex-wings, with additional mass added to meet the rules.

The weather picked up almost where it left off Saturday, clear blue skies and high winds. The winds were strong to start with, and only got worse. The USSR lost off to a good start, with 4 maxes (3 in one team), while the US had 2 maxes and 3 DQ's. At the end of round 2 one of the Russian teams had a lead of 262 seconds over the top US Teams. As well, there were two Russians with two maxes while George Gassa-
way was the only US flyer with two maxes. Things fell apart for the Russians in round three while the US "Blue" team pulled things together. Art and Harry Rose put up long flights, Art’s for 271 seconds (29 short of maxing, out of sight due to the fast downwind drift even with binoculars). One of the two Russians with 2 maxes had just a 117 sec third flight. The other top Russian, Iljin, was left waiting for one of his first two models to be returned, but in vain. George Gassaway was in the same situation, without a model, until Phil Barnes once again risked life and limb to climb a tree to get the first flight model back without a scratch (just as he did in Yugoslavia in 1987). The newly recovered flex-wing was quickly prepped and put up to be lost forever on a 276 second flight, to win with a total of 696 seconds. Second place went to Alexey Koriapin with a total of 635 seconds. Third place went to Art Rose with a total of 565 seconds. The US "Blue" Team, consisting of Art Rose, Harry Rose, and George Gassaway, won with a total of 1,556 seconds to the second place Russian "Gold" team’s 1,217 seconds.

**S4B (B Boost Glide)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rnd 1</th>
<th>Rnd 2</th>
<th>Rnd 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sergey Iljin</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Kuzmin</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Mityurev</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CCCP Gold Team</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**S3E E/R/C Rocket Glide**

The S3E event (E/R/C Rocket Glide) was next, with the winds as strong as ever. The winds were probably over the FAI maximum allowed, with weather looking poor for Monday there really was no choice but to fly in the winds then or not at all. Things were going poorly for the US Team even before the official flying; a trim test flight of one of George Gassaway’s models went dead near transition and spiraled into the ground, smashing the model (later attributed to the battery pack not getting a proper charge). Phil Barnes’ launch tower was blown over by the wind, damaging a backup model that was inside it (though repairable if needed).

Since the models had already been processed, each had only one undamaged model left to use for the event. On the first US flight of the event, it was George Riebesell’s turn to have trouble. His transmitter trim levers were not set, so the model was out of trim when it took off. After some hair moments he got in under control to climb to a safe altitude, but it was likely to be DQ’d for a bad boost. Not knowing one way or the other, he flew for all the time he could get, catching some lift and letting the model drift downwind to stay in the thermal. By the time he landed he had a flight of over 4 minutes, but the model landed out of his sight and sustained some damage. It went all for nothing as the flight was first DQ’d, then since a Russian had also DQ’ed for a bad boost, the officials allowed both to re-fly. Great, but rather than repair his damaged model George Riebesell chose to fly his alternate model (like Phil, he could have repaired it if necessary).

Now the flying began. Phil Barnes maxed his first flight easily, thanks to the high boost and wind penetration capability of his Dark Star-5 (not to mention Phil’s ability to boost such a small model as the Dark Star-5 straight up). There was no doubt that his model and flying ability were well suited to flying in such high winds. George Gassaway had to boost his larger and lighter loaded model at about a 70° climb angle into the wind, resulting in a lower altitude than normal. The wind was higher than the regular glide velocity of the model, so the trim had to be adjusted to bring the speed up, making the model come down faster as well, for a first flight of 192 seconds. George Riebesell made a good boost on his refight to post a solid flight of 238 seconds. His model was similar in size to George Gassaway’s but a bit heavier and better finished so it was able to handle the high winds somewhat better.

For the Russian team, things went smoothly in the first round only for current World Champion Victor Kovalev.
Despite the large size and low loading of their flop-wing models which used flat bottom airfoils, the Russian models were able to penetrate into the wind better than expected. They had some trouble and did lose some performance on retrimming for a faster glide, but not by a huge amount. Kovalev was able to make a max at 300 seconds to the Phil in Round 1. Vladimir Barysh had his first flight DQ'd for a bad boost, his reflight (in agreement with George Riebesel) was good for 255 seconds, 5 short of maxing. Vladimir Minakov couldn't win it for losing. His first flight failed to deploy the wings and was down at 149 seconds. Since the wings were activated by burning thread and the engine charge had failed to go off, it was ruled a cut. However, the reflight lasted only 133 seconds, shorter than when the wings had been closed.

Round 2's flying was with only one incident. Minakov's model lost a wing tip panel near the end of boost, resulting in the model spiraling into the ground. Phil managed the highest time of the day, 346 seconds (24 short of the 360 max for that round), while both Gassaway and Riebesel had flights of about 4 minutes. Victor Kovalev dropped to second behind Phil, on a 268 second flight as teammate Barysh had 232 second to temporarily tie for 3rd place with George Riebesel.

Round three would definitely be the last round, with no flyoff possibilities. With Phil in the lead and the weather more rotten than ever, he chose to wait on the pad for a short improvement in the wind. George Riebesel made a flight of 241 seconds, robbed of a few seconds extra by getting caught in the turbulence at low altitude over and behind the prep tent area as the wind flipped the model upside down. George managed a quick pull-out to miss the ground by a blade of grass or so, landing safely. (The US Team had been trying to use the enclosed tents for a bit of slope lift, which worked to a limited extent then became nasty at too low an altitude.)

George Gassaway took some down trim out of his model to allow it to slowly drift downwind to a set of small hangers to try to slope soar them. That helped add about a minute to the flight which lasted 204 seconds. It was left too low to maneuver, so on trying to land to one side of the hangers the turbulence caught the model and flipped it to the ground, breaking the fuselage. Phil had been waiting but things never got any better. Finally he flew to a 240 second flight to win the event. He remarked that flight was the rottenest weather he'd ever flown in, which probably was true for most if not all fliers.

The Russian team ran into big trouble. Minakov's back-up model fell victim to the same as his primary, losing a tip panel on boost, then spiraling in to crash onto the runway. Victor Kovalev, in 2nd place and with a theoretical shot at winning, had the tube of his large piston launcher stick to the model and go up with it to about 20 feet. The tube finally burned off, but by then the model was horizontal and looking like it would crash. He did an excellent job to pull the model to vertical and fly the rest of the boost normally, but the trouble at launch tied to a DQ for a bad boost. Barysh had a very poor flight, making just 199 seconds.

The results for the event were Phil Barnes first with 886 seconds, George Riebesel second at 708 seconds, and George Gassaway third at 700 seconds. The team total was 2294 for the US, 1347 for the USSR.

A local couple who run a tour boat at Chincoteague island heard about the contest, and offered their services. So, after the flying ended both teams made it back to Chincoteague in time to take a one hour boat tour before sunset.

After a good dinner at a local restaurant, most people headed for the Wallops visitor's center. The visitor's center had a decent collection of displays, models, photos, and exhibits to show what Wallops does. Most interesting were the video displays showing launches of various NASA vehicles such as Delta and the Shuttle (not Wallops launches of course...), which certainly gave the Russians an opportunity to see what our launches are like. At the center's auditorium, John Langford gave a presentation on the Daedalus man powered aircraft project for which he was project director. (The Daedalus made its successful long distance flight last May. Watchers of a recent NOVA program about the flight may also have seen model rocketeers Guppy Younken and Bob Parks who were involved in the project.) Before leaving, the scale models were picked up for Monday's flying.

S6E (E R/C W/G)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>End 1</th>
<th>End 2</th>
<th>End 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vladimir Barysh</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Kovalev</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vladimir Minakov</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>1347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Barnes</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Gassaway</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Riebesel</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Diagram of S6A model](image)
 SSC C Scale Altitude

Due to predictions of bad weather for Monday, the schedule was altered to fly both SSC (C Scale Altitude) and 57-Scale simultaneously starting in the morning and continuing until 1 PM or whenever the rain came back. Monday morning was slightly better than expected, and the rain had stopped so there was just an overcast. The wind was about 5 mph at the start, increasing to 10-15 before flying ended.

Coming into the event Bob Biedron's BT-20 size C powered Astrobob-B had a static score of 681 points, in first place by a margin of 111 points above his closest competitor, Art Rose. Art and Jeff Vincent used small Nike-Tomahawk models as developed last year for Yugoslavia. The model featured BT-20 sized Nike boosters and 12mm diameter Tomahawk upper stages, with the capability of flying staged using micro motors. The Russian team had three identical models of a Soviet sounding rocket. Notably, their team consisted of Sergey Iljin, flying his FOURTH event (in addition to PD, SD, and B/C), and Kovalev and Minakov from the R/G team (unusual for R/C R/G fliers to take on scale type events). All of their models scored closely together, with Kovalev's leading the way at 545 static points.

The overcast skies had a drastic effect on the flying of Scale Altitude. The cloud base ranged from somewhat below 1000 feet early to several thousand feet as the day progressed. Bob Biedron's fantastic static score was not likely to hold the lead when Art and Jeff flew their models on maximum staged power, but the overcast caused Art and Jeff to fly single staged on 6-sec motors on their first flights just to get tracked. (With three flights allowed, it was also good strategy to fly carefully then go for broke later.) Bob flew first, on a piston launched flight that had a lot of tip-off, but was tracked to 354 meters. Not great, but it was a good flight and two flights left to improve the score. The next two flights made were better and better as the tip-off was reduced, but the tracks were lost both times. Unfortunately Art's first flight was not ballasted enough and was unstable on the first flight. Jeff got off a decent single staged flight but it went untracked. Jeff's second flight was a successful single staged flight which was tracked to 307 meters. Art repaired the damage from his first attempt to get in a tracked flight of 330 meters. Both Art and Jeff tried staging their third flights, but each failed to fly.

The Russian team had no alternates, just their 10-sec motors. Two models flew into the cloud base and were never seen again (not just track lost, no models to try more flights with). And ironically Iljin's model went unstable, then it crashed hard and destroyed the model. The final results were that Bob Biedron won with a total of 1035 points, Art Rose second at 900 points, and Jeff Vincent third at 846 points. (Points are corrected from those handed out at the meet as they included flight points which are no longer used in Scale Altitude, just static score and altitude.) Of course, the US team won with 2781 points versus 1096 for the USSR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Static</th>
<th>Best Alt.</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victor Kovalev</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>TL</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergey Iljin</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vladimir Minakov</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>TL</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Biedron</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Rose</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

57 Scale

The final event was Scale (flew in conjunction with Scale Altitude). As expected, the Russian team had their excellent Soyuz models, which featured a lot of detail as well as in-flight tricks to score many bonus flight points. And as expected the US did not field a full 3-person team, the scale team consisting of Bob Biedron's Ariane and Fred Williams' Saturn-1B. What was not necessarily expected was that the top model in static scoring would be Bob Biedron's Ariane, which had a 50 point lead. Bob's model was definitely worthy of its score, with the excellent level of details and the myriad of markings. This was a rare opportunity to have an FAI event judged by less biased persons than at some FAI contests. We can only hope Bob's future efforts are also subject to similar judging. Fred Williams' Saturn-1B was not quite to the same level of the other models, but looked nice (it was about the size of the old Centuri 1B, modeled after the Apollo-Soyuz project flight).

Fred Williams put up the first scale flight, a good smooth flight on a D12. One by one, Soyuz models made it out to the pad to be launched, after intricate prelaunch prepping. The typical flight was to ignite 5 engines (1 per strap-on plus the center core), climb to about 100 feet where at burnout the strap-ons fell away to recover under their own chutes, then by radio command ignite the upper stage motor to fly a short time before activating the chutes to bring down everything else. The models came down in about 7 separate pieces. The wind direction caused about 42% of one model and 57% of another to be temporarily lost as pieces landed on a large hanger downwind (retrieved by Wallops personnel). Another model lost one piece in a tree, which the builder was able to retrieve by being given a cherry-picker provided by Wallops. The flights were definitely impressive. A couple of models DQed the first flights as one piece failed to deploy a chute, but they managed to make qualified seconds flights. (One again had a piece fail, but it was ruled a late due to no ejection.)

Bob Biedron had a crew helping to get his Ariane ready for flight. For extra flight points it featured operable strap-ons powered by 1/2A3-2f engines, to be ignited just after liftoff and separate during coast. The model launched well and the strap-ons ignited, but at about 50 feet the model started pitching over and went unstable. It crashed onto the pavement before it could eject. Bob had made several successful flights of a boilerplate model, apparently the rush in completing the final assembly of the model had left the CG a bit too far back. The crash essentially destroyed the model, but there was reason to look forward to the World Championships. In developing the model Bob created molds which allow duplicate parts to be made, and he already had a second set (a better set) of parts made up for his next model. Had Bob's model worked, he probably would have slipped to second place, possibly third, due to the very high number of flight points the Russian models were able to score. The way the bonus rules are written, an SBB-dropping and R/C glide space shuttle could not score as much as a Soyuz potentially can. Bob will try adding a few more tricks to his Ariane for next year's World Championships in Romania.

The final results for scale were: Arnis Bacha first with 917 points, Anatoly Kholchakov second with 847 points, and Alexander Korshagin third with 690 points. The USSR team won.
with 2,454 points to 574 for the US.

The Shuttle Discovery landed just before the last scale flights ended. Some people made it over to the Wallops cafeteria to watch, while most of the teams and key personnel stayed at the launch site to fly. News of the landing was relayed by radio to the launch site.

### S7 (Scale)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Static</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arnis Bacha</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td>917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatoly Khotkov</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Korchagin</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>Cato</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Bodron</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Williams</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Awards Banquet

On Monday night there was an awards banquet held at the Wallops dining hall. Certificates were handed out to virtually everyone who helped, as well as a specialized US/USSR meet pin and Wallops pin. Individual winners were given nice trophies featuring the contest logo, the winning teams for each event were given one plaque. After the awards there was some more socializing and trading at the motel before the meet was effectively over.

Nearly all of the US team members headed back home Tuesday morning. The Russian team headed for tours of Goddard, the Air and Space Museum, and a few other Washington DC areas of interest before departing on Thursday. A few DC area team members plus the Roses met the Russians again at a special dinner Wednesday night.

It is difficult to relate the experience of such a meet. It is easier to convey the contest flying activities which are the usual things to write about. Each person involved would have their own special views on what the meet meant, socially, politically, or as a contest. There are many people who are looking forward to making the reciprocal trip to Moscow in 1990.

Again, thanks must go to Ed Pearson for running a fairly smooth contest, and to Trip Barber for managing the US Team, and all the financial sponsors of the meet.

---

When the SNOAR News Editorial staff complained that they hadn’t received a recent Top Ten list from Mark Bundick, I said, “Hey, NO PROBLEM!” So I got my good friends at Late Night With David Letterman to whip one up for us... pronto.

So here it is... straight from the Home Office in Shakopee, Minnesota... it’s our

---

## Top Ten Reasons for Subscribing to SNOAR News

1. Has won the LAC Newsletter Award Honorable Mention more times than the average rocketeer has fingers.

2. Big guffaws and snappy patter.

3. Easy to assemble / almost ready to fly.

4. Is an important part of a balanced breakfast including toast, butter, juice, cereal, and Vitamin A&D fortified milk.

5. More fabulous babes!!!

6. Tastes great! Less filling!!! Tastes great!!! LESS FILLING!!! TASTES GREAT!!!... and so on....

7. Who cares about rockets anyway, we just need your money! (Oops, sorry. That was from an old California Rocketry list!)

8. Has beaten Diet Pepsi in head-to-head comparison taste tests eight years running, AND has a special 3D commercial in the works featuring George Michael, Don Johnson, Gloria Estefan, and Terry Lee.


And the NUMBER ONE REASON FOR SUBSCRIBING TO SNOAR NEWS IS:

---

**Kat loft! Kat loft! Kat loft!**

You asked for it, readers!
Build the SS-28 SPOTTER
Greetings and salutations, American comrades!

I am pleased to be presenting here, for the first time in America, plans for your build-it-yourself project of a glorious Soviet-engineered Spot-Landink model rocket.

SS-28 SPOTTER

Is party-approved design that ALWAYS WORKS: You point it up; it comes back down! This is very important flight characteristic for Spot-Landink Competition, no?

To be building your own glorious SS-28 Spotter, you will need the following parts (told not in my native millimeters but in American rocketeers’ standard of measurement: Estes body tube sizes!):

(1) BT-5
(1) BT-20
(1) EM 520 (or is it 205? silly Westerners!) Mini-Brute engine mount or the homemade equivalent
(1) Parachute kit or streamer
(1) Medium screw eye
(1) Extended “standard” engine casing wire or nylon shock cord mount
(1) Launch lug

You will also need bleb tape, epoxy, aliphatic resin or white glue, cyanoacrylate glue, pen or pencil, knife or razor blade, lead shot or BB’s (for nose weight), primer, and paint.

Please watch Mr. Launch Rack ’85, Barbie Mattel as she works on the model. Watch! Watch!

From Russia with love,

Antonov Villon

How To Build The Glorious Soviet Spot-Landing Vehicle

SS-28 Spotter

1. Assemble the engine mount. Mark the BT-20 for three fins. Cut a 1/4 X 3/4 inch ejection port on one of the marks, two inches from the end of the tube. Install the engine mount.

2. Use the tube guide shown to cut a BT-5 to the correct angle. A razor saw is helpful for this step. Wrap a sheet of medium grade sandpaper around a BT-20 and use this as a sanding block to shape the proper contours of the BT-5 tubular fins/body interface. Cut the other end of the “fin” at a 45° angle as shown. Repeat these steps for the other two “fins”.

Note: You can now cut the BT-20 to length (nine inches), or wait until after you have attached the fins.

3. Attach the fins to the body with aliphatic resin or white glue. Alignment is critical, so take your time and do a good job.

Add generous glue fillets to all fin/body joints, paying special attention to the tube covering the ejection port, since this tube houses the recovery system. Cut the body into two 4 1/2 inch sections.

4. The original Spotter used a homemade balsa nosecone that was 1 1/2 inches long with a 1/8 inch blunt tip. If you’re not into turning nosecones, feel free to experiment. For exam-
Building the SS-28 Spotter
pie, the old WAC Corporal kit nosecone might look good if you can find one, etc. Whatever you use, glue it in place; then give the nosecone a smooth coating of epoxy. (The nosecone will take a LOT of abuse.)

Fill the nozzle end of an expended standard engine casing with epoxy and set a screw eye in it. (This anchors the recovery system to the nose as the current rules dictate.) Allow the epoxy ample time to set before moving.

Attach the launch lug in the space between the tubular fins opposite the election port and recovery tube.

Wrap masking tape around both ends of the expended engine casing and at the area where the body tubes join. The lower wraps should be a very tight fit to insure that the body sections do not separate in flight. (If you plan to use a wire shock cord mount, you can glue the casing to the lower body after the wire is installed.)

5. Prime and paint The SPOTTER as you would any sport-type model. For that "segmented solid rocket booster look, 3/16 inch wide ringlets cut from K676 (or fabricated from Trim Monokote™, or plastic tape, or...) can be pre-painted and tacked on in strategic places with cyanoacrylate.

The SPOTTER should weigh 80 to 85 grams (about three ounces) for “Maximum Ballistic Predictability” (MBP). This is accomplished by adding to the nose section lead shot or bb’s which can be epoxied in place.

WARNING: Do NOT attempt to fly your SS-28 Spotter without sufficient noseweight!

The upper body tube can now be glued to the expended engine casing “nose block”.

FLYING INSTRUCTIONS

Install the engine.

Make sure the shock cord is firmly anchored.

Tie the parachute or streamer to the shock cord.

Pack one square of flameproof wadding into the recovery tube, fold in the “chute or streamer, and slip another half square of wadding into the tube to hold the recovery system in place during ascent.

RANDOM NOTES

There are several construction and recovery mode options available to the fun-minded experimenter. But I’ll let you discover those for yourself!

So get out to the field and practice, practice, practice. Learn how your SPOTTER will respond to different launch angles and atmospheric conditions.

Fly with an A10-3T and good luck!

---

TRACI WANTS YOU!

Yessirree, bub, Traci Lords, SNOAR club secretary, WANTS you! But not for the reason that you’re thinking of!

Seems we’re gettin’ a bit on in age here at SNOAR NEWS International, and the boys were kicking around some ideas on how to celebrate the occasion. Fifteen years is a long time for a newsletter, and a really long time for a model rocket club. It’s a wonder we’re even alive after 5 LDRS meets, GLRM, CARs, and all the McDonald’s hamburgers that go along with a rocketry contest. One of the good ideas to come out of the session was to hunt up everyone who was a long-time SNOAR member, and find out what they’re doing. Another, even more hilarious idea is to dig up old photos of club members circa 1975, just to embarrass them. You know, like Mike Nowak and his funny looking hat, Chris Johnston with long hair, and Philbert... well, just being Philbert.

We’re looking for info on the following people especially: Philbert, Alan Tuskes, Larry Chumlea, Mike Nowak, John Squirek, Frank Peri, and Brian what’s his name.

So, let’s look up old friends and find out what they’re doing now. And if you have any old pictures, forward them to Chris, Matt or Traci. Traci will then compile a “Who’s Where” list, and then layout the photo pages. Be sure to send in some photos, at least a defensive measure. Otherwise, who knows how we might get even with you!
The IMS Show is one of the largest trade and hobby shows in the country, and the biggest on the West Coast. Because we didn't have anything else to do that weekend, SNOAR NEWS correspondents Matt Steele and Chris Pearson attended the show, looking for signs of rocketry. We didn't find many, but we did find a number of things of interest to rocketeers.

Chris and I did most of our looking on Industry Day, Friday, January 13, under the guise of North Coast Rocketry. This proved to be a wise move on our part. The following two days, that were open to the public, were swamped! Attendance at the show hit an all time high, and when we went back to shoot some photos on Saturday, we could see why. The place was so full, that they were limiting entrance to the show until some people left. And, the line to get tickets was almost a block long.

First of all, we scouted for rockets. Estes wasn't there, so the hunt turned to MRC. We found the MRC booth, but the emphasis was obviously on RC cars, as the rockets were pushed off into one corner. We also couldn't find anyone to talk to about MRC's future rocket plans, so we picked up a new MRC catalog, and moved along. The catalog is a nice step in the right direction, but it didn't really have anything new in it. Still, the MRC launch pad and launch controller are worth a second look, as they appear to compare well with the Estes versions.

Having looked for rockets and come up close to empty, we searched on. One of the first people we bumped into was an old rocketeer, Doug Pratt. Doug comes from way back, having survived being contest director of NARAM-19. Doug

Below Left: MRC's display on rockets consisted of three kits (none of which are new kits) and two packs of engines. Doesn't make you think that they're taking the hobby too seriously, does it? Below Right: Mr. Doug Pratt, former NARAM-19 Contest Director, would like to sign you up on CompuServe. Doug is the Sysop (System operator) for the model rocketry forum on CompuServe, which is a lot of fun. Everyone with a computer should be signed up.
Left: Chris Pearson and Frank Milo discuss the PIC adhesive line at the IMS Trade show. Frank is holding an NCR catalog, and wondering where we get our models. We're not telling, Frank!

Right: A representative from Byron's Originals displays the "Craft Cuddler". This plastic horizontal stand will be just perfect at the next LDRA.

Left: The Peck Polymers Blimp was on prominent display throughout the show. If you got one, where would you fly it, though?
now works for our sister organization, Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA). He also has written a number of books on model airplanes and model rockets. We chatted with Doug, and asked about the status of any upcoming books. Doug said that Kalmbach is not very interested in updating his excellent Basics of Model Rocketry, but that he was working on a new book for TAB.

In our wandering around the exhibit hall, which was actually in two buildings to accommodate the size of the show, we met Frank Milo, who runs Penn International Chemicals (PIC). Frank, who is a NAR member, mentioned that the company would be moving from California to Daytona Beach, Florida. He was also glad to see the use of PIC adhesives in model rocketry, and wondered if there was something we needed that was missing. We couldn't think of anything, especially since PIC had introduced their "A+B Putty", 3/4 oz fiberglass cloth, and their microdropper tips recently.

Other companies promoting their adhesives included Pacer Technologies (Zap), Loctite, Satellite City (Hot Stuff), Krazy Glue, and Bob Smith Industries. There was a new odorless Hot Stuff being praised, but we couldn't get a sample to check it out. Loctite showed a unique aerosol "Decal and Paint Stripper" that looked useful for reworking old models, or repairing messed up paint jobs.

Advanced materials was another area of interest. One company promoting advanced materials was Aerospace Composite Products. ACP is the source for a lot of model rocketry's composites, since they are supplying Terry Dean's company Competition Specialties. If you need graphite products, this is a good place to look. Included in ACP's line are carbon fiber laminates, carbon and Kevlar mats, fiberglass, carbon fiber, and Kervlar cloth, carbon fiber and Kevlar tapes, glass/foam panels, carbon rods, and many more composite items. ACP also supplies SAFE*ST*POXY, a lightweight laminating epoxy resin.

Another composites supplier is Model Research Labs. This is a source for some truly exotic materials, though most of them are geared for the model airplane world. MRL sells items like boron filament, kevlar thread, cloth and mat, carbon fiber tow, tape, solid spar material, sheet stock, cloth, and mat, and lightweight fiberglass.

Radio control was the big item at the show, of course. Cannon Systems was out showing their new "1991" ultra small RC gear. Fatback also had a big booth, emphasizing their complete line of radio products.

One thing that really caught our eye was the Byron Originals "Craft Cuddler". It's a rugged, lightweight horizontal stand that's perfect for boats, planes, and... high power rockets! It features velcro straps to tie down the vehicle while in position, and was fully adjustable. Chris was seen parting with cash to get one of these, muttering something about his next really big project that would use it...

But that was about it for the model rocket crowd. We did witness some nifty RC flying, including an electric RC airplane that weighed only 28 ounces, and flew INSIDE the exhibit hall! Peck Polymers has their inflatable blimp prominently displayed, and it was a real monster. But where would you fly the sucker? And, we saw some nice demos of control line, free flight, RC model cars, and ornithopters. But no model tanks...

With the show a memory, we headed out to downtown Palm Springs to mingle with the rich and...
COMPUSERVE COMPUTER LISTINGS

Got a computer? Here's the current files dealing with model rockets on available on Compuserve. You can access these by signing up for Compuserve, or get a friend to get you a listing. Files included below are not all that are included in the Compuserve files, but merely the ones that were not time sensitive. There's a whole bunch of stuff!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Name/Type</th>
<th>Date/Size (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP.COM/binary</td>
<td>30-Jan-88 20352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPILE EXECUTABLE CP CENTER OF PRESSURE CATT BARROWMAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP.PAS</td>
<td>30-Jan-88 15338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENTER OF PRESSURE PASCAL CP CATT SOURCE CODE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFPAS.DOC</td>
<td>30-Jan-88 17979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP.COM CP.PAS CP CENTER OF PRESSURE DOCUMENTATION CATT BARROWMAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGPAS2.DOC</td>
<td>29-Jan-88 12430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG.PAS CG CENTER OF GRAVITY CATT COMPLETE DOCUMENTATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTRANS.COM/binary</td>
<td>29-Jan-88 13952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSITIONS CONIC PASCAL CATT EXECUTABLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTRANS.DOC</td>
<td>29-Jan-88 2619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSITIONS PASCAL CTRANS DOCUMENTATION CATT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTRANS.PAS</td>
<td>29-Jan-88 4468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSITIONS PASCAL SOURCE CODE CATT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAR SIDE - X

"Frosty the Spacemolder"
COJANZ.TXT 28-Jan-88 26044
TRANSCRIPT CONFERENCE CO ROCKETRY NAR COMPETITION FUN

CG2.PAS/binary 24-Jan-88 9472
CG CENTER OF GRAVITY COMPLETelistiNG CATT
PASCAL SOURCE

NL/binary 22-Jan-88 1664
NEWSLETTERS LIST EDITORS MODROC HAPPENINGS

CG.PAS 03-Jan-88 1578
CG CENTER OF GRAVITY PASCAL CATT ROCKET CAD

CGPAS.DOC 03-Jan-88 3766
CG CENTER OF GRAVITY PASCAL CATT

NEWROC.TXT 22-Nov-87(22-Nov-87) 12820
ROCKETRY NEW ENERTEK MRC ESTES FSI

CPRES.BAS 09-Nov-87 4794
BASIC COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR CENTER OF PRESSURE CP CALCULATION ROCKET FUN NAR

CGRAV.BAS 26-Oct-87 900
BASIC PROGRAM ROCKET CG CALCULATION

OCT21.CO 25-Oct-87 22144
ROCKET CO MILLER STINE FIELDS MANUFACTURERS

OCTCO.TXT 23-Oct-87 30955
NAR ROCKETRY CONFERENCE FIELDS CLUBS COMPETITION MANUFACTURERS

TRANS.IMG 12-Oct-87 3262
C64 COMMODORE-64 BASIC TRANSITIONS TABLE

MRATIO.IMG 11-Oct-87 2141
C64 COMMODORE MASS RATIO WEIGHT CALCULATOR

ALTRK.IMG 09-Oct-87 1828
COMMODORE 64 C64 SINGLE TRACK ALTITUDE

DELTA.IMG 02-Oct-87 2139
COMMODORE 64 CLIPPED DELTA PLANFORM BASIC

SURVEY.TXT 12-Sep-87 4507
ROCKETS SURVEY

NARAM2.TXT 09-Sep-87 7461
NARAM NATIONAL MODEL ROCKET CHAMPIONSHIPS CONTEST COMPETITION TOP TEN FUN

CHAMPS.TXT 14-Aug-87 798
NAR CONTEST COMPETITION CHAMPIONS STANDINGS TOP TEN ROCKET FUN

LEM.RLE/graphic1e 01-Jul-87 3712
LEM GRAPHICS RLE ROCKET CGA

SNOTES.21 28-Jun-87 5120
SKYNOTES NEWSLETTER

SNOTES.22 28-Jun-87 2688
SKYNOTES NEWSLETTER

SNOTES.234 28-Jun-87 8320
SKYNOTES NEWSLETTER

SNOTES.25 28-Jun-87 18432
SKYNOTES NEWSLETTER

RUSSIA.TXT 16-Jun-87 2629
RUSSIAN SOVIET SPACE ROCKET PROGRAM BOOKS PICTURES RESOURCES SCALE DATA

SHROUD.BIN/binary 15-Apr-87 768
MULTIPLAN SHROUD TRANSITION TEMPLATE SKYNOTES

CONTES.TXT 24-Mar-87 3526
NAR MODEL ROCKET SANCTION CONTESTS COMPETITIONS MEETS FUN

MAR87.CO 10-Mar-87(10-Mar-87) 17280
CO CONFERENCE ROCKETS MRC HIGH POWER NAR PRESIDENT FISCHER MILLER

CLUBS.DOC/binary 16-Feb-87 9600
NAR CHARTERED SECTION CLUB LIST ROCKETS

HOTSHOT.RLE/graphic1e 15-Feb-87 1536
RLE PICTURE HOTSHOT MRC MODEL ROCKET

STARR.RLE/graphic1e 15-Feb-87 1664
RLE GRAPHIC PICTURE MRC MODEL ROCKET STARR

AMSPAC.RLE/graphic1e 05-Jan-87 3130
RLE GRAPHIC AMERICAN SPACEMODELING COVER

CAMERA.CAMERAA 18-Oct-86 590

CLUSTE.TXT 09-Oct-86 3135
MODEL ROCKET CLUSTER IGNITION LAUNCH HELPFUL TIPS FLASHBULBS

TRANS.BAS 22-Aug-86 1495
MODEL ROCKET CONIC TRANSITION PROGRAM BASIC DRAWING

SNOTES.15 26-Jul-86 36410
SKYNOTES CAROLINA SKYWRITERS NEWSLETTER

SNOTES.16 26-Jul-86 15820
SKYNOTES CAROLINA SKYWRITERS NEWSLETTER

ROKETS.RLE/graphic1e 20-Jun-86 5635
ROCKETS CHART SIZES COMPARATIVE RLE GRAPHICS PICTURE

ROCKET.CO 17-Mar-86 21820
ROCKET SPORT ROCKETRY CO BUNDICK
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY.TXT</td>
<td>16-Mar-86</td>
<td>NAR POLICY ON HPR PLUS OPINION BY MARK BUNDICK NAR TRUSTEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKNOT.11</td>
<td>27-Feb-86</td>
<td>SKYNOTES CAROLINA SKYWRITERS NEWSLETTER ROCKETRY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKNOT.12</td>
<td>27-Feb-86</td>
<td>SKYNOTES SKY NOTES CAROLINA SKYWRITERS ROCKETRY NEWSLETTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKNOT.13</td>
<td>27-Feb-86</td>
<td>SKYNOTES SKY NOTES NEWSLETTER ROCKETRY SKYWRITERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKNOT.14</td>
<td>27-Feb-86</td>
<td>SKYNOTES SKY NOTES NEWSLETTER ROCKETRY SKYWRITERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKNOT.INT</td>
<td>26-Feb-86</td>
<td>SKYNOTES ROCKETRY CAROLINA SKYWRITERS CLUB NEWSLETTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDR.HPR</td>
<td>07-Feb-86</td>
<td>HIGH POWER ROCKETRY HPR ADDRESSES AND INFORMATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINS.BAS</td>
<td>01-Feb-86</td>
<td>ELLIPTICAL FINS DESIGN PROGRAM IN BASIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPR.TXT</td>
<td>27-Jan-86</td>
<td>HIGH POWER ROCKETRY PROPOSAL ASCII FILE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPR/binary</td>
<td>19-Jan-86</td>
<td>HIGH POWER ROCKETRY PROPOSAL NAR HPR CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOTORS.LST</td>
<td>18-Jan-86</td>
<td>MODEL ROCKETRY ALTITUDE PREDICTION MOTOR DATABASE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTZ.DOC</td>
<td>12-Jan-86</td>
<td>MODEL ROCKETRY ALTITUDE PREDICTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTZ.PAS</td>
<td>12-Jan-86</td>
<td>MODEL ROCKETRY ALTITUDE PREDICTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPILL.PAS</td>
<td>12-Jan-86</td>
<td>MODEL ROCKETRY ALTITUDE PREDICTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOTORS.BIN</td>
<td>12-Jan-86</td>
<td>MODEL ROCKETRY ALTITUDE PREDICTION MOTOR DATABASE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVVIEW.PAS</td>
<td>12-Jan-86</td>
<td>MODEL ROCKETRY ALTITUDE PREDICTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODROC.BIN</td>
<td>11-Dec-85</td>
<td>MODEL ROCKET DESIGN FLIGHT PREDICTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODROC</td>
<td>21-Nov-85</td>
<td>CP CENTER OF PRESSURE PREDICTION FLIGHT ALTITUDE ROCKET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDR.TXT</td>
<td>10-Oct-85</td>
<td>ADDRESSES ROCKETS COMPANIES NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPRGL.TXT</td>
<td>12-Sep-85</td>
<td>GLUE SUPER GLUE CA CYANO CYANOACRYLATE ROCKET CONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**BOOM COUNTY**

*OH MA, PLEASE QUIT REFERRING TO THEM AS AMATEUR ROCKETERS! I BELIEVE THAT THEY PREFER THE TERM "ADVANCED NON-PROFESSIONAL SPACEMODELER"...*

*OH MY... LOOK AT THE EXHAUST PLUME ON THAT 'K'-POWERED RAMJET!*
From Your Sometimes Sober Editors

(continued from Pg 2)

First of all, there's the Mark Weber angle. You'll remember Mark: he's the guy who took over as the head of Trippoli, only to be forced out a few months later, due to his conduct. Since then, he and Don Carter sent out postcards under the Trippoli name, saying that some Aerotech motors were bad and should be destroyed. They got caught on that little ploy. Now, Weber is back at it again. This time, at Central Blast 1, Weber held a meeting that was ostensibly intended to "overthrow" Trippoli and reinstall him as Trippoli president. What a joke! Not only did the proposal get very little support, someone got the whole thing on tape, allowing Trippoli to pursue a "Cease and Desist" order against Weber! What a fool!

But then, there are bigger fools out there. It seems that the attitude of Trippoli itself has shifted in the past eighteen months. Rather than working with the NAR, as it had in the past, Trippoli seems to be on a confrontation course with the NAR. This is incredible, considering the NAR changed its bylaws to accommodate its members who want to be active Trippoli members!

There has been no dialog between Trippoli and the NAR for the past year, despite the NAR's attempts to start one. Additionally, Trippoli sponsored LDRS-6 and LDRS-7, which were scheduled to be in direct conflict with NARAM-29 and 30, despite advance notice of the NARAM dates. Rather than allow rocketeers who would like to attend both events, Trippoli forced people to choose. Why would two groups who seem to have everything in common, except a few Newton seconds, be so far apart?

The answer is Ed Tindell, the current Trippoli president. "Little Eddie" or "King Eddie" (as he has been derisively called by people who are almost never derivative) is dead set against anyone or anybody from infringing on his power structure. To give you some insight into the man, here is an exchange of letters between Chris Pearson and Ed.

Dear Ed,

Happy New Year and all that stuff. I have learned from both Tom Blassnin and Gary Rosenfield that you have decided to hold LDRS-8 once again in Colorado Springs, and that once again the date chosen conflicts with the dates for other launches.

These arbitrary decisions on your part disturb me for several reasons. First, no one that I know of wishes to return to the Springs. It is a acceptable launch site, but it is too far from the hotel, has zero facilities, and Colorado Springs is right in the middle of nowhere as far as everyone is concerned. I mean, it is difficult for everyone concerned to reach. The Springs site was chosen because of the loss of the Medina site, and no other site was quickly available. There are better sites available now (such as the Vegas site), and the vote was to hold the launch at the Springs in 1989 only if no other site was available.

Gary Rosenfield did a great amount of work on the Vegas site believing that it would be held there in 1989. I have seen the site, and it is far superior to the Springs site in every way. If LDRS is not held there this year, he plans to hold a high-power launch in late August. The Las Vegas site holds many advantages over the Colorado Springs site. The best one being that there is other things to do in Las Vegas besides going to the launch. I myself would find it appealing to visit Las Vegas as a vacation in addition to going to LDRS. I'm sure many others would feel this way also. Many people don't want to go back to the Springs. I was there four times in 1987, and don't have any desire to return.

Next, for the third year in a row, the dates chosen for LDRS directly conflict with NARAM. This year, as the past two years, Trippoli was aware of the NARAM dates a full year in advance of the launch. This prevents many potential LDRS participants from attending the launch and puts a great strain on the high-power manufacturers, several of whom attend both events. In the case of North Coast Rocketry, we decided to attend NARAM over LDRS in 1988 because of pure economic reasons. We will do the same in 1989. I have spoken to several other high-power manufacturers and they have doubts that they will attend LDRS this year, because of both the date conflict and the choice of sites. For LDRS 1 thru 5, we made sure that LDRS was at least one week before or after NARAM, out of consideration to the participants and manufacturers. I believe, along with others, that LDRS should be at least one, better yet, two weeks separate from NARAM. And at different sites each year. The Las Vegas site would be the best choice for 1989. It is not too late to change the date and sites. The sites should bounce between the East and West Coast, in order to give everyone a chance to attend.

I hope you will consider these suggestions and decide that the Las Vegas site and different dates is the best course of action.

Chris Pearson

Here is Tindell's reply:

Dear Chris,

Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year in return. I am in receipt of your letter of January 2 which voices your concerns about the choice of date and location for LDRS-8.

The decision to return to Colorado Springs is not arbitrary and was not made by me. At the General Membership Meeting at LDRS-7, I, as President, followed the "accepted tradition" of calling for a vote by the members present to fix the date and location for LDRS-8. Over 200 Trippoli members were present and they almost unanimously voted to return to Colorado Springs. The vote was not conditional on finding a better site. I was the one behind the podium advocating the discussion and I was the one who said, "The best place is Colorado Springs again?" People didn't just say "yes" Chris, they stood up from their seats and shouted "YES!!" waving their arms. As President I have a responsibility to the members and it was clear to me that they wanted a return to Colorado Springs.

It is true that the launch site is a 1.5 hour drive from the hotel. But it is a very good site. We will use a larger pasture on a different part of the property for LDRS-8 which will take better advantage of the wind and the landowner will probably allow more use of vehicles on it as he doesn't care about the grass in this new pasture as much as the old one. We will have food and port-a-potties at the launch again. In terms of facilities what else do you want? I'll be happy to try and get it if it's equipment that's applicable to a rocket launch. There are plenty of stores on the way and even a small country store only a few miles up the road with all the basic necessities one would need. Speaking from experience I had a K420 blow up at Lone Star 21! I am glad that the launch site is in the middle of nowhere. LDRS needs to be situated far, far away from civilized areas. With people flying clusters of K's and L's my main concern is the fact that distance equals safety. At LDRS-7 Bruce Kelly's Top Gun went unstable with 7 motors firing all at once and one of the L750's in Ron Schulitz's Mother Load didn't ignite until about 100 feet into the air. Imagine one of these rocketeers plowing into a civilized area. The consequences, not just to Trippoli, but to advanced high power rocketry, is scary to think about. And it doesn't matter if we have insurance coverage or not. If someone gets hurt and can prove negligence, that's all it takes. I prefer more safety over more convenience.
All the work that Gary Rosenfield and Tom Blazanin did to arrange to have LDSS-8 in Nevada they did on their own in secret. I was never asked about it or told of their plans until the vote was called for during the General Membership Meeting. The vote actually called their hand because they did not want to announce their plans until they had everything ready. They did not have everything ready at that time. I have talked with Tom and believe it is possible that all the necessary arrangements could be made. But that doesn’t change the fact that I was not kept informed of their activities or even asked by them if they could sponsor the launch. I am President and people owe me enough respect to at least keep me informed.

I beg to differ with you on the amenities available at Las Vegas and Colorado Springs. I have spent time in both cities. Most of the people who attended LDSS-7 were doing so at the start or end of a week’s vacation. I did so and enjoyed a whole host of tourist attractions and excursions. Many people commented on the fact that Colorado is a good starting/ending point for a vacation to or from almost any area of the country. If you look at the enclosed LDSS-7 Flight Card Statistical Analysis you will see that LDSS-7 had one of the most evenly distributed attendance of any rocket launch on record. No one state or area of the country dominated the launch. It is not that hard to get to being served with good roads and a large airport. Most people also drove to the launch because they had a lot of equipment or motors they could not ship. Nevada is 1000 miles even further west. At 30 cents a mile nowadays it would cost people living on the east coast an additional $300 dollars one way just to get there. And once you are there what is there? Nevada is well known for gambling and prostitution but not much else. I seriously doubt all those attending LDSS-8 on vacation with their wives and kids would care very much for that sort of thing. I am not trying to be prudish or anything but I have been to four LDSS’s now and they are crazy enough as it is!

My predecessor, Tom Blazanin, was not in contact with the NAR, and I am not in contact with the NAR. I have no knowledge of the dates for NARAM and quite frankly am not concerned with NARAM. Like most Tripoli members I do not attend NARAM’s and I cannot see catering to the few that do. That sort of thing would set a precedent to accommodate the NAR. Tripoli is separate from the NAR and will remain so. We have the right to plan our own activities when and where we choose. The NAR will not move NARAM to accommodate us because they would then set a precedent for accommodating us. If you decide to attend NARAM over LDSS, well, that is your choice. Tripoli is for it’s members and I would assume that the manufacturer’s would want to go where the members are flying. Tripoli has a responsibility to make it easy for every member to attend LDSS. I cannot give consideration to the manufacturers over the members. Tripoli is your organization too, I know that; but you are not a majority and it is foolish to assume that every manufacturer can attend every launch that is held. Tripoli did not exist during the early LDSS days and by the time LDSS-8 is held Tripoli will have well over 1000 members. Things have greatly changed. Advanced high power rocketry is no longer the hobby of a few elitist because Tripoli is succeeding beyond all expectations to reach out and let everyone participate.

Since LDSS-7 I have automated the business end of Tripoli here in Houston. I have talked to and corresponded in the last five months on a one-to-one personal basis with over half of the membership of Tripoli. My comments above, Chris, are a condensation of what the membership has told me they wanted. I have not received any complaints about returning to Colorado except for your letter. Speaking just for myself, I believe that large launches like LDSS, need to be held at permanent fixed sites, preferably in the geographical center of the country in states like Kansas or Missouri. I believe they should be permanent fixed sites so that Tripoli can set a precedent with the authorities and landowners to make it easy to come back year after year. I believe it should be held in the center of the country so that the travel burden on everyone is equally distributed every year so everyone has an even chance of attending every year.

Like I tell everyone Chris, I’m more interested in building and flying rockets than I am rocket politics or rocket politicians. If a majority of the membership was to tell me Nevada I would support it 110% just like I am supporting Colorado right now. I just want to give the members what they want. I hope you can at least respect if not accept my point of view on this matter.

Thanks,
Edward R. Tindell

Makes you wonder, doesn’t it?

First of all, Tindell’s comments about the membership wanting to hold the meet in Colorado Springs was nearly unanimous. Well, it was, by those who were in attendance, but that makes sense. It would be biased that way. How about the WHOLE membership, Ed? Did you think to ask them? No, I didn’t think so, even though Tripoli sent out a mail ballot to all its members that could’ve included that question. Did you really carry out your duties as President, or did you just do what you wanted to do?

Secondly, it’s dumb to lecture to Chris Pearson about high power safety. Who set the standards, Jerry Irvine?

The remarks about Las Vegas are stupid, also. That’s like saying that all there is in Ft. Worth is drunk cowboys who smell. Granted, some do, but certainly that’s not the whole picture. There is a large number of family oriented activities in Las Vegas, including beautiful canyonlands, Lake Mead, Circus Circus, Wet ’n Wild, and many many more things. Additionally, Las Vegas is one of the cheapest cities to fly to in the country, much cheaper than Colorado Springs.

The comments about the NAR are indicative of Ed Tindell’s ignorance. Tripoli did internal with the NAR in the Tom Blazanin days. Most Tripoli members are NAR members or former NAR members, contrary to what Ed says.

The NAR has been around for 30+ years, with its NARAM dates always the first full week in August. Since this has been the case for thirty years, it is unlikely to change, even if Ed Tindell would like it to. The precedent to have LDSS and NARAM separate weekends was in place for the first five years; obviously, it’s a precedent he would like to forget, not reinstitute.

It is ignorant to not know or care about the NARAM dates. It is irresponsible to the Tripoli membership and sponsors, but Ed doesn’t care. He’d rather have the confrontation, I guess. After all, he’s got more newton seconds, even if he doesn’t have the same number of members (either in the organization or attending large meets like NARAM-50).

Tindell’s comments about Tripoli not being around in the early days are well taken. Who was around in the early days? Chris Pearson, not Ed Tindell! The LDSS meets posted an excellent safety record and provided the growth of the high power rocket scene that exists today. It was not an elitist meet (but Ed wouldn’t know, since he didn’t make the early ones). LDSS 1-5 helped the NAR see that high power rocketry was fun. LDSS 1-5 helped establish Tripoli, and did so without being confrontational with the NAR in the manner that has been seen recently.

All in all, you can see a power hungry individual who will ruin Tripoli if he is not replaced. Luckily, that just might happen.

Three new Tripoli Board members were just elected, and informal polls indicate that Ed will not be re-appointed as President. The only question is, will he pick up all his toys, and refuse to let anyone else play?

We hope that Tripoli can withstand these problems and continue to grow.
The official demise of Ener tek took place on January 27, 1989. An auction was held to liquidate Ener tek's assets. Among the items available were: the incomplete launcher mold; 450 lbs of composite rocket propellant; 900 lbs of ammonium perchlorate; three development motor casing molds; the display prototype models, launcher, and launch controller; 17,000 product catalogs (some with water damage); trade show exhibit booth; and various model rocket kits, tools, and information. Paul Hans, reportedly Ener tek's biggest investor, walked off with the whole deal for more than $50,000. What will happen to the items is unresolved; there are still claims against the assets, despite the liquidation auction.

Cox is ready to re-enter the model rocket market by re-introducing their 1969 line of all-plastic rockets. By spring of 1990, expect to see the 1/144 scale Saturn V and Saturn 1B back on the shelves of hobby shops, as well as an 18" Nike Zeus, 8.5" Little Joe II, a 14" Honest John, an X-15, and the parasite glider Space Shuttle America. Supposedly, Cox has almost all of the original molds for the rockets, with only a few pieces to be replaced. Cox is also considering using the original packaging that their models used. Motors are not going to be produced in the Cox plant in Santa Ana, CA. Most likely this is because of a fatal motor manufacturing accident, ultimately resulting in Cox abandoning model rocketry. Instead, arrangements are being made to manufacture the motors in Canada, possibly by the Canaroc folks. No word on whether the Saturn V launcher and the plastic igniter will be produced; these were two products that, in the past, really set Cox apart from the other model rocket manufacturers.

Damon Corporation announced on January 16 that it had agreed to be acquired by Nomad Partners, a corporation formed by American Magnetics and Ballantine Partners for the purpose of acquiring Damon. The agreement culminated five months of struggle by Nomad, including a lengthy $24 per share cash tender offer.

The agreement must be ratified by current Damon shareholders, and Damon is free for 30 days after the signing to continue to solicit better offers for the company. Nomad's financing is a standard leveraged buyout package: (a) $50 million in equity capital from Nomad itself, (b) $145 million in bank loans arranged by AG Becker/Paribas, and (c) $180 million in junk bonds to be underwritten by Drexel Burnham Lambert.

During negotiations, Nomad indicated they planned to sell certain segments of Damon's businesses. This would probably include Estes Industries. There is considerable speculation that Estes may not be sold, now that potential buyer Odyssey Partners has appeared disinterested. It is too early to predict the effect of this take over on the model rocket market.

MRC has released a new catalog. There's not much of interest in it for the advanced modeler, though. It looks as though MRC is taking a solid aim at Estes' novice rocketeers. For a copy, write to: MRC, 200 Carter Drive; Edison, NJ 08817.

The NAR hit 5000 in December 1988! There are now 2604 Juniors, 238 Leaders and 2197 Seniors for a total of 5039 members. First year renewal and overall renewal rates have increased, largely due to an increase in the number of Seniors. At this rate, the NAR should hit an all time high about the time of NARAM-31.

Insurance is in the news again, due to the AMA Insurance Committee recommending that the AMA drop the NAR from its coverage. This was quite a bombshell, to say the least. The AMA council, fortunately did not follow that recommendation, instead directing the NAR and AMA Insurance Committee to meet together and review the matter. It is likely that the AMA insurance will continue, although some changes are likely. The bulk of these issues are expected to be worked out by the time you read this. A full report will follow in an upcoming issue of SNOAR News.

New Catalogs are on the way in March for both North Coast and Lots of Crafts. Ravena Rocket Research has also released a new catalog, sporting a large line of composite motors. No word on when these motors will be certified. Advanced Rocketry Components (Box 97904, Pittsburg, PA 15227), a new company headed by former Tripoli President Tom Blazanin, also has issued a new catalog available for two dollars. Oh, yeah, and the Estes catalog has hit the streets, too.

Al Nienast, NAR C Division National Champion in 1979 and 1983, passed away in his sleep, Sunday January 29, 1988. He was perhaps best known to his competitors for his series of swing wing rocket gliders, and his incredible egglofts which defied gravity. In addition to being a able modeler, he was a gentleman and sportsman of the highest caliber. He will be sorely missed. Condolences may be sent to Mrs. Regina Nienast, 520 Cady Avenue, Tomah, WI 54660.

Acrotech is busy introducing new motors. Many of the motors are "re-engineered" versions of previously released motors. Most interesting are the new formulation "White Lightning" F41 ($11.95) and G60 ($15.95) which have been improved for even more effect. Also, in order to reduce manufacturing costs, the E28 has been replaced with the Acrotech/Acrotech 24mm E30 and 24mm E15 ($5.95 each). New 18mm motors are also appearing, including a full 20 n-sec D, the D25 ($6.95) and a low E, the E25 ($7.95). Delays for all of the above motors are 4, 7 and 10 seconds. Also introduced are the 29mm F25 ($10.95), F60 ($10.95), G40 ($14.95), and G80 ($14.95). Acrotech has also re-introduced two popular motors, the 24mm F41 ($11.95), and the 29mm F15 ($11.95). Order these either direct from Acrotech or North Coast Rocketry.
Can be flown nearly out-of-sight on F motors, or punch it into orbit on a G! Features slow, realistic take-offs, and is perfect for the new White Lightning motors! Recovery is by colorful 36" rip-stop nylon parachute. The BIG BRUTE also incorporates our new Gorilla Shock Cord Mount System™ (patent pending). Dan Kafun designed this one!

Length: 35" Diameter: 4.0" Weight: 450 g
Recommended motors: F20-4, F25-6, F41-6, F60-6, F80-10, G25-10, G40-10, G60-10, G80-10
Catalog # K-53 Price $24.00

The North Coast Rocketry LC-1 Launch Controller is the heavy duty high power launch controller you've been looking for. There's no plastic parts here; it's all in a compact metal box, designed to be easy to carry and last a lifetime.

The LC-1 has all features that you would build into a launch controller: An audible continuity checker (who wants to squint in the sun anymore trying to see if the dim little light is on?); a heavy duty safety key that looks like a key; twenty five feet of cable from the box to the micro clips, so you can stand back far enough from those high power flights; high quality micro clips, with color coded heat shrink tubing protecting the solder joints; and heavy duty, plastic shielded battery clips. There's nothing wimpy about this launch controller. And, Chris personally puts 'em together and checks 'em out, so you know they've got to be good.

Order one today, and don't worry about a launch controller ever again.

LC-1 Launch Controller: $50.00

To order, call (205) 883-6020 or write to address at right. Visa & MasterCard accepted.
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